

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/892,630 | 06/28/2001 | Seigi Aoyama | 3008-28 | 4486 |
| 20457 7: | 57 7590 05/18/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP | | | DINH, TUAN T | |
| 1300 NORTH S SUITE 1800 | 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873 | | | 2841 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

F

| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Astion Commence | 09/892,630 | AOYAMA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Tuan T. Dinh | 2841 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONF | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133) | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | , | | | | |
| | = The state of the mental of the mental is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,7 and 14-22</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,7,14-16 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | -(d) or (f). | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • •• | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not received | d. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | . , | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/892,630

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 2, 14-16, 19-20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Domi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,319,461)

Regarding claims 2, 15-16, 20, and 22, Domi discloses a lead free solder alloy (see an abstract) comprising:

an alloy composition containing: 0.002-0.015% by mass of phosphorus (P<1.0 % weight, see column 2, lines 49-52) with the balance consisting of tin (column 3, line 37), wherein bismuth (Bi), antimony, and gallium are not added to the alloy composition, and the alloy composition excluded bismuth and antimony.

Regarding claims 14 and 19, Domi discloses the alloy composition further comprising: 2.0-5.0% by mass of silver (column 2, lines 27-29); and 0.01-2.0% by mass of copper (column 2, lines 30-32).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,630

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5, 7, 18 and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenji et al (hereafter PA, figures 1 and 2 submitted by applicant) in view of Domi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,19,4613).

Kenji discloses a connection lead as shown in figures 1-2 comprising:

a copper strip (1) or other strip conductor; and

a plating (2a, 2b) provide on at least one side of the strip conductor, said plating being formed of a lead-free solder composed mainly of tin, and has a shape such that the plating in a widthwise direction of the strip conductor having a bulge formed of an arc, a triangle, or stairs as viewed in section with an apex being located at a proper position in the widthwise direction of the strip conductor.

Kenji does not show said plating containing 0.002 to 0.015% by mass of phosphorus, 2.0-5.0% by mass of silver, 0.01-2.0% by mass of copper, with the balance consisting of tin excluding bismuth, antimony, and gallium.

Domi teaches an alloy composition containing 0.002 to 0.015% by mass of phosphorus, 2.0-5.0% by mass of silver, 0.01-2.0% by mass of copper, and balance of tin, the alloy excluding bismuth, an antimony, and gallium.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,630 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have teaching's Domi employed in the connection lead of PA for the purpose of improving a thermal fatigue characteristic of a solder alloy, and a thermal and electrical conductivity connection.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 17 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the references cited do not teach or render in combination of <u>an alloy composition</u>

<u>consisting of</u>: 0.002-0.015% by mass of phosphorus (P); 2.0-5.0% by mass of silver (Ag); 0.01-2.0% by mass of copper (Cu); and tin.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 4-5, 7, and 14-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2841

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,630

Art Unit: 2841

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Dinh May 6, 2005.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800